

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Tiered Environment Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: FY2021CDBG-Fisherman-of-Hope

HEROS Number: 900000010144157

Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901

State / Local Identifier:

RE Preparer: Jo Ann Vera

Certifying Officer: Elda Rodriguez-Hefner

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: El Paso, TX

Additional Location Information:

District 6 and 7 (within the City of El Paso).

Direct Comments to: City of El Paso, Department of Community and Human Development

801 Texas Ave., 3rd Floor

El Paso, TX 79901

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

46th Year 2020-2021 CDBG Entitlement Grant: Fisherman of Hope - Volunteer Housing Rehabilitation Program to provide basic and necessary repairs to rejuvenate and/or rehabilitate the homes of El Paso's low income elderly and/or disabled homeowners. Community Development funds are targeted for 25 homes in District 6 (budget \$ 57,500) and 25 homes in District 7 (budget \$ 57,500). Repairs are made at no cost to the

homeowner with volunteers who do the majority of the work and donations of materials. Repairs consist of minor rehabilitation activities and/or maintenance activities, i.e., lawn care/landscaping clean-up; cleaning, patching and fixing exterior; masonry; building ramps; roof patching/repairs; caulking/weather stripping windows and doors; replacement of windows and doors; patching/painting interior/exterior, installing drywall; cleaning, stripping floors; installation of flooring. Total budget \$115,000.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

City of El Paso Districting Map.pdf

Dist 7 2011 to 2015 ACS.pdf

Dist 6 2011 to 2015 ACS.pdf

District 7 Area Map.pdf

District 6 Area Map.pdf

Approximate size of the project area: more than 1 square mile

Length of time covered by this review: 1 Year

Maximum number of dwelling units or lots addressed by this tiered review:

50

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(3)

Determination:

		Extraordinary circumstances exist and this project may result in significant environmental
		impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA); OR
,	/	There are no extraordinary circumstances which would require completion of an EA, and
		this project may remain CEST.

Approval Documents:

heros SignaturePage FY21RT FishermanHopeF.pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)
B-20-MC-480015	Development (CPD)	(Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$115,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$115,000.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:	Was compliance	Describe here compliance					
Statutes, Executive Orders, and	achieved at the	determinations made at the broad level					
Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,	broad level of	and source documentation.					
§58.5, and §58.6	review?						
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6							
Airport Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No						
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☑ Yes □ No	El Paso, Texas is not a coastal city. The					
		nearest coastal barrier is 600 miles					
		away. See Attachment 2.					
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No						
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5							
Air Quality	☑ Yes □ No	Based on project description consisting					
		of "minor" rehabilitation of existing					
		residence there are no activities that					
		require evaluation under the Clean Air					
		Act.					
Coastal Zone Management Act	☑ Yes □ No	El Paso, Texas is not a coastal city. The					
		nearest coastal zone is 600 miles away.					
		See Attachment 5.					
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No						
Substances							
Endangered Species Act	☑ Yes □ No	The project activities will consist of					
		minor repairs to existing owner-					
		occupied single-family homes with no					
		ground disturbance. The project type					
		will have no effect on listed species.					
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☑ Yes □ No	Project activities will consist of minor					
		repairs to existing owner-occupied					
		single-family homes and does not meet					
		the definition of HUD-assisted project					
		for explosive hazards per 24 CFR Part					
		51.201. Therefore, the explosive hazard					
		regulations do not apply.					
Farmlands Protection	☑ Yes □ No	Proposed project does not meet					
		definition of prime and/or unique					
		farmlands. Minor rehabilitation project					
		activities of existing structures are					
		exempt from the FPPA per 7 CFR Part					

Version 11.07.2012 08/10/2020 12:47 Page 3 of 6

		658.3. See Attachment 9.		
Floodplain Management	☑ Yes □ No	Project activities will consist of minor		
		repairs/rehabilitation of existing owner-		
		occupied single-family residences and		
		meets the floodplain management		
		exception at 24 CFR Part 55.12 (b)(2).		
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No			
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No			
Sole Source Aquifers	☑ Yes □ No	There is no sole source aquifer in El		
		Paso, Texas. See Attachment 13.		
Wetlands Protection	☑ Yes □ No	Based on project description no		
		activities would require further		
		evaluation under this section.		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☑ Yes □ No	El Paso, Texas has no designated wild or		
		scenic rivers. These projects are not		
		within proximity of a NWSRS river. See		
		Attachment 15.		
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE				
Environmental Justice	☑ Yes ☐ No	Projects are single-family residences		
		and no disproportionate environmental		
		impact demonstrated.		

Supporting documentation

FY2021CDBG-Fisherman-of-

Hope

Attachment 2 Coastal.pdf

Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf

Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf

Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf

Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf

Written Strategies

The following strategies provide the policy, standard, or process to be followed in the site-specific review for each law, authority, and factor that will require completion of a site-specific review.

1	Airport Hazards
	1. Using resource material NEPAssist Transportation Mapper: *Measure distance from property site to proximity to civilian and/or military airport runway zone. Within 15,000' Military Airport or 2,500' Civilian Airport Runway Zone 2. Determine if project is within RPZ 3. Document project is a minor maintenance/rehabilitation of existing residence. *Activity will not increase density or number of people at site. 4. Map showing site distance from Airport Runway Zone. *If within 2,500' CAP, map or letter stating site not
	within designation *If within 15,000' MAP, map or letter stating site not within
	designation 5. Document and map all findings, upload to HEROS.
2	Flood Insurance

Using FEMA Map Service Center website http://msc.fema.gov 1. Property site is entered and FEMA Map downloaded and designation is documented. 2. If property is not in a flood zone, FEMA map is uploaded to HEROS ERR and documented in ERR. 3. If property is designated, further review and documentation is required. Due to project scope of work, it may be determined infeasible, removed from Rebuilding Together and forwarded to City of El Paso Rehabilitation program.

3 Contamination and Toxic Substances

1. Perform onsite inspection using Site-Specific Field Contamination Checklist and take pictures. *Document property site be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases. 2. Using reference material NEPAssist EPA Facilities Mapper: *Review databases maintained by U.S. EPA *Map showing site distances from hazardous waste, air pollution, water dischargers, toxic releases, superfund, brownfields, RADInfo and toxic substances control act areas. 3. Collect EPA reports and document any violations. 4. Document any impact/determination/clean-up action to proposed project site. 5. Documentation, maps and reports, upload to HEROS.

4 Historic Preservation

Per Section 106 review property location, map site and take photos with scope of work; submit with a written request for consultation to THC Texas Historical Commission using eTRAC (electronic THC Review and Compliance) system to determine if property is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Should SHPO/THC conclude that subject actions will not affect property eligible for listing, then the Section 106 Review concludes, response from SHPO/THC is uploaded to HEROS ERR and copy placed in file. If structure is determined to be listed/eligible for listing in the National Register, a Determination of Effect will be filed detailing proposed activities to include plans, specs and photos of project.

5 Noise Abatement and Control

1. Using resource material NEPAssist Mapper: * Measure distance from property site to Airport (within 15 miles) *Measure distance from Railroad (within 3,000ft) *Measure distance from Major Roadways with high vehicular noise levels (within 1,000ft) 2. Document distance by mapping and upload to HEROS. Should any of these measures exceed these limits, note conclusions. 3. Should from property site to Airport fall within 15 miles, contact Airport for noise contour information. 4. Should property site to Railroad fall within 3,000ft., document and use reference material website USDOT: http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov for Railroad crossing inventory information. 5. From property site to major roadways fall within 2,000ft, document and use reference material website TXDOT: http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov; gather information on future truck traffic, document. 6. Use HUD Environmental DNL Calculator to evaluate if site falls within normal standards not exceeding 65dB. Document and upload to HEROS ERR. Scope of work for minor rehabilitation project, noise is considered; however, noise attenuation is only encourage as program works with limited funding per project. If feasible, double pane windows and weather stripping/door replacement is used.

Supporting documentation

<u>Site Specific Review Fisherman of Hope BLANK.xlsx</u> FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST_R7-2012 (Draft).pdf

APPENDIX A: Site Specific Reviews